arXiv:1212.5484v1 [math.AG] 21 Dec 2012

BRIANÇON-SPEDER EXAMPLES AND THE FAILURE OF WEAK WHITNEY REGULARITY

KARIM BEKKA AND DAVID TROTMAN

1. INTRODUCTION

In [3, 5] we introduced a weakened form of Whitney's condition (b), motivated by the work of M. Ferrarotti on metric properties of Whitney stratified sets [12, 13]. The resulting weakly Whitney stratified sets retain many properties of Whitney stratified sets. They are Bekka (c)-regular, as recalled in section 5 below. It follows, by the thesis of the first author [3, 4], that they have the structure of abstract stratified sets [19], and thus are locally topologically trivial along strata [27, 19], and are triangulable [17]. Weakly Whitney stratified sets also have many of the metric properties known to hold for Whitney stratified sets, as we proved in [7]. Ferrarotti [14, 15], Orro and Trotman [22], Parusinski [24], Pflaum [25], and Schürmann [26] have described and developed further useful properties of weakly Whitney stratified sets.

It is easy to find real algebraic varieties with weakly Whitney regular stratifications which are not Whitney regular, and we give such an example in section 3 below. No examples are known among complex analytic varieties however, so that the natural question arises : do Whitney regularity and weak Whitney regularity coincide in the complex case ? As a test, in this paper we study the well-known Briançon-Speder examples, consisting of Milnor number constant families of complex surface singularities in $\mathbf{C}^3$ which are not Whitney regular [10], although they are (c)-regular, to determine if they are weakly Whitney regular.

We investigate systematically all of the (infinitely many) Briançon-Speder examples, and establish in particular that none of these examples are weakly Whitney regular. We determine **all** the complex curves along which Whitney (b)-regularity fails and **all** the complex curves along which weak Whitney regularity fails. It turns out that for each example there are a finite number of curves γ_i such that weak Whitney regularity fails precisely along those curves tangent to one of the γ_i at the origin. For example, the classical Briançon-Speder example $f_t(x,y,z) = x^5 + txy^6 + y^7z + z^{15}$ for which $\mu(f_t) = 364$, has 16 such curves $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{16}$ defining thus all the curves on which weak Whitney fails, where each $\gamma_i(s)$ is of the form $(s^8, as^5, 4a^{-7}s^5, -5a^{-6}s^2) \in \mathbf{C}^4$, with $a^{16} = -8$ (hence the 16 distinct complex solutions).

It should be of interest to interpret these curves in the light of other studies of the metric geometry of singular complex surfaces, for example the recent work of Birbrair, Neumann and Pichon characterising their inner bilipschitz geometry [8], and the same authors' work characterising outer bilipschitz triviality [9], or the work of Garcia Barroso and Teissier on the local concentrations of curvature [16].

Date: September 27, 2012.

K. B. : IRMAR, Université de Rennes 1, Campus Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes, France ; D. T. : LATP, UMR 7353, Aix-Marseille Université, 39 Rue Joliot-Curie, 13453 Marseille, France.

Further evidence that weak Whitney regularity and Whitney regularity might be equivalent for complex analytic stratifications, at least for complex analytic hypersurfaces, comes from the recent result of the second author that equimultiplicity of a family of complex analytic hypersurfaces follows from weak Whitney regularity of the stratification.

The second author acknowledges the support of the University of Rennes 1 during several visits to Rennes, when much of the work in this paper was done.

2. DEFINITIONS.

We start by recalling the Whitney conditions.

Let X, Y be two submanifolds of a riemannian manifold M and take $y \in X \cap Y$.

Condition (a): The triple (X, Y, y) satisfies Whitney's condition (a) if for each sequence of points $\{x_i\}$ of X converging to $y \in Y$ such that $T_{x_i}X$ converges to τ (in the corresponding grassmannian in TM), then $T_yY \subset \tau$.

Condition (b): The triple (X, Y, y) satisfies Whitney's condition (b) if for each local diffeomorphism $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to M$ onto a neighbourhood U of y in M and for each sequence of points $\{(x_i, y_i)\}$ of $h^{-1}(X) \times h^{-1}(Y)$ converging to $(h^{-1}(y), h^{-1}(y))$, such that the sequence $\{T_{x_i}h^{-1}(X)\}$ converges to τ in the corresponding grassmannian and the sequence $\{\overline{x_i y_i}\}$ converges to ℓ in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$, then $\ell \subset \tau$.

Condition (b^π): The triple (X, Y, y) satisfies Whitney's condition (b^π) if for each local diffeomorphism $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to M$ onto a neighbourhood U of y in M and for each sequence of points $\{x_i\}$ of $h^{-1}(X)$ converging to $h^{-1}(y)$, such that the sequence $\{T_{x_i}h^{-1}(X)\}$ converges to τ in the corresponding grassmannian and the sequence $\{\overline{x_i\pi(x_i)}\}$ converges to ℓ in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$, then $\ell \subset \tau$.

One says that (X, Y) satisfies condition (a) (resp.(b), (b^π)) if (X, Y, y) satisfies (a) (resp. (b), (b^π)) at each $y \in X \cap Y$.

Remark 2.1. It is an easy exercise to check that condition (b) implies condition (a) [19]. Also (b) is equivalent to both (a) and (b^π) holding [20].

We now introduce a regularity condition (δ), obtained by weakening condition (b).

Given a euclidean vector space V, and two vectors $v_1, v_2 \in V^* = V - \{0\}$, define the sine of the angle $\theta(v_1, v_2)$ between them by :

$$\sin\theta(v_1, v_2) = \frac{||v_1 \wedge v_2||}{||v_1||.||v_2||}$$

where $v_1 \wedge v_2$ is the usual vector product and $||.||$ is the norm on V induced by the euclidean structure. Given two vector subspaces S and T of V we define the sine of the angle between S and T by :

$$\sin\theta(S, T) = \sup\{\sin\theta(s, T) : s \in S^*\}$$

where

$$\sin\theta(s, T) = \sup\{\sin\theta(s, t) : t \in T^*\}.$$

If $\pi_T : V \longrightarrow T^\perp$ is the orthogonal projection onto the orthogonal complement of T, then $\sin\theta(s, T) = \frac{||\pi_T(s)||}{||s||}$. The definition for lines is similar to that for vectors - take unit vectors on the lines.

One verifies easily that : $\sin\theta(v_1,v_3) \leq \sin\theta(v_1,v_2)+\sin\theta(v_2,v_3)$ for all $v_1,v_2,v_3 \in V^*$, and $\sin\theta(S_1+S_2,T) \leq \sin\theta(S_1,T)+\sin\theta(S_2,T)$, for subspaces S_1,S_2,T of V such that S_1 is orthogonal to S_2.

Condition (δ): We say that the triple (X,Y,y) satisfies condition (δ) if there exists a local diffeomorphism $h:\mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow M$ to a neighbourhood U of y in M, and there exists a real number δ_y, $0 \leq \delta_y < 1$, such that for every sequence $\{x_i,y_i\}$ of $h^{-1}(X)\times h^{-1}(Y)$ which converges to $(h^{-1}(y),h^{-1}(y))$ such that the sequence $\overline{x_iy_i}$ converges to l in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$, and the sequence $T_{x_i}h^{-1}(X)$ converges to τ, then $\sin\theta(l,\tau)\leq\delta_y$.

Remark 2.2. Clearly condition (b) implies (δ) : just take $\delta_y = 0$.

Definition. A *weakly Whitney stratification* of a subspace A of a manifold M is a locally finite partition of A into connected submanifolds, called the *strata*, such that :
1) - Frontier Condition : If X and Y are distinct strata such that $\overline{X}\cap Y \neq \emptyset$, that is X and Y are *adjacent*, then $Y\subset\overline{X}$.
2) - Each pair of adjacent strata satisfies condition (a).
3) - Each pair of adjacent strata satisfies condition (δ).

Examples.

1. Every Whitney stratification is weakly Whitney regular.

2. Let X be the open logarithmic spiral with polar equation,

$$\{(r,\theta)\in\mathbb{R}^2 \,|\, r = e^{\frac{t}{\tan(\beta)}}, \theta = t (\mathrm{mod}\, 2\pi)\} \quad \text{where} \quad 0<\beta<\frac{\pi}{2}\}$$

and let $Y=\{0\}\subset\mathbb{R}^2$. Condition (a) is trivially satisfied for $(X,Y,\{0\})$, and condition (δ) is also satisfied, but condition (b) fails because the angle $\theta(\overline{x0},T_xX)=\beta$ is constant and nonzero for all x in X. So this is a weakly Whitney regular stratification which is not Whitney regular.

3. If X is the open spiral with polar equation

$$\{(r,t)\in\mathbb{R}^2 \,|\, r = e^{-\sqrt{t}}, t\geq 0\}$$

and $Y=\{0\}\subset\mathbb{R}^2$, then the stratified space $X\cup Y$ is not weakly Whitney.

Remark 2.3. In the definition of weakly Whitney stratification, we could further weaken condition (δ) as follows : If π is a local C^1 retraction associated to a C^1 tubular neighbourhood of Y near y, a condition (δ^π) is obtained from the definition of (δ) by replacing the sequence $\{y_i\}$ by the sequence $\{\pi(x_i)\}$. Clearly $(b^\pi$ implies (δ^π). Recall that $(b)\Longleftrightarrow(b^\pi)+(a)$ [**?**], as noted above.

Lemma 1. $(\delta)+(a)\Longleftrightarrow(\delta^\pi)+(a)$.

Proof. Clearly $(\delta)\Longrightarrow(\delta^\pi)$, so it suffices to show that $(\delta^\pi)+(a)\Longrightarrow(\delta)$. In the definition of (δ) decompose the limiting vector l as the sum of a vector l_1 tangent to Y at y, and a vector l_2 tangent to $\pi^{-1}(y)$ at y. Then $\sin\theta(l,\tau)=\sin\theta(l_1+l_2,\tau)\leq\sin\theta(l_1,\tau)+\sin\theta(l_2,\tau)$. By condition (a), $\sin\theta(l_1,\tau)=0$, hence $\sin\theta(l,\tau)\leq\sin\theta(l_2,\tau)$ which is less than or equal to δ_y by hypothesis, implying (δ). □

This will make checking weak Whitney regularity easier.

3. REAL ALGEBRAIC EXAMPLES.

Because many of the important applications of Whitney stratifications arise in algebraic geometry, it is necessary to know how weak Whitney regularity compares with Whitney regularity for semi-algebraic or real algebraic stratifications, as well as for complex algebraic/analytic stratifications. The following simple example illustrates that weak Whitney regularity is strictly weaker than Whitney regularity for real algebraic stratifications. No such example is currently known in the case of complex algebraic stratifications, and this will be the motivation for the calculations in sections 7, 8 and 9 of this paper.

Example I.
Let $V = \{(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,|\, y^6 = t^6x^2 + x^6\}$, let Y denote the t-axis, and let $X = V \setminus Y$. One can check that the triple $(X, Y, (0, 0, 0))$ satisfies conditions (a) and (δ), but not condition (b). See [**?**] for details.

The following example illustrates the independence of the conditions (a) and (δ) in the case of real algebraic stratifications..

Example II.
Let $V = \{(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,|\, y^{20} = t^4x^6 + x^{10}\}$, let Y denote the t-axis and let $X = V \setminus Y$. Then the triple $(X, Y, (0, 0, 0))$ satisfies condition (δ), but not condition (a). For details see [6].

4. SOME PROPERTIES OF WEAKLY WHITNEY STRATIFIED SPACES.

Like Whitney stratified spaces, weakly Whitney stratified spaces are filtered by dimension.

Proposition 4.1 [6]**.** *Suppose that a triple (X, Y, y), $y \in Y \cap \overline{X}$, satisfies conditions (a) and (δ). Then $dim\, Y < dim\, X$.*

Definition. If (A, Σ), (B, Σ') are weakly Whitney stratified spaces in M, then (A, Σ) and (B, Σ') are said to be *in general position* if for each pair of strata $X \in \Sigma$ and $X' \in \Sigma'$, X and X' are in general position in M, i.e. the natural map :

$$T_xM \longrightarrow T_xM/T_xX \oplus T_xM/T_xX'$$

is surjective for all $x \in X \cap X'$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let V be a submanifold of M in general position with respect to (A, Σ). Then $(A \cap V, \Sigma \cap V)$ is weakly Whitney regular, if (A, Σ) is weakly Whitney regular.*

A proof is given in [6]. A stronger statement, in the case of two stratified sets transverse to each other, is given in [23].

If A is locally closed and (A, Σ) is weakly Whitney (without assuming the frontier condition) then the stratified space (A, Σ_c), whose strata are the connected components of the strata of Σ, automatically satisfies the frontier condition. See [3, 4] for the (c)-regular case, which includes the case of weakly Whitney stratifications, as remarked below.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $f : M \to M'$ be a C^1 map, and let (A, Σ) be a weakly Whitney stratified space in M'. If f is transverse to each stratum $X \in \Sigma$, then the pull-back $(f^{-1}(A), f^{-1}(\Sigma))$ is weakly Whitney stratified.*

See [6] for proofs.

5. (c)-REGULARITY OF WEAKLY WHITNEY STRATIFICATIONS.

In this section we recall the fact that weakly Whitney stratified spaces are (c)-regular. It follows [3, 4] that they can be given the structure of abstract stratified sets in the sense of Thom-Mather [19], implying in particular local topological triviality along strata and triangulability [17].

Let (U, ϕ) be a C^1 chart at y for a submanifold $Y \subseteq M$ where $dimY = d$,

$$\phi : (U, U \cap Y, y) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^d \times \{0\}^{n-d}, 0).$$

Then ϕ defines a tubular neighbourhood T_ϕ of $U \cap Y$ in U, induced by the standard tubular neighbourhood of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \{0\}^{n-d}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$:

- with retraction $\pi_\phi = \phi^{-1} \circ \pi_d \circ \phi$ where $\pi_d : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is the canonical projection,

- and distance function $\rho_\phi = \rho \circ \phi : U \to \mathbb{R}^+$ where $\rho : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is the function defined by $\rho(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \Sigma_{i=d+1}^n x_i^2$.

It is well-known (see [19, 28, 29]) that if a pair (X, Y) of submanifolds of M satisfies Whitney's condition (b) then for *any* sufficiently small tubular neighbourhood T_Y of Y in M, the map

$$(\pi_Y, \rho_Y)|_{X \cap T_Y} : X \cap T_Y \longrightarrow Y \times \mathbb{R}$$

is a submersion. In fact this property characterises (b)-regularity [29]. For comparison, when the pair (X, Y) is weakly Whitney, there exists *some* tubular neighbourhood T_Y such that the map

$$(\pi_Y, \rho_Y)|_{X \cap T_Y} : X \cap T_Y \longrightarrow Y \times \mathbb{R}$$

is a submersion.

Proposition 5.1. *Let X, Y be two submanifolds of M, $Y \subset \overline{X}$ and let $y \in Y$. If the triple (X, Y, y) satisfies the weak Whitney conditions, then there exists a chart C^1, (U, ϕ) at y for Y in M (the ambient manifold) and a neighbourhood U' of y, $U' \subset U$ such that $(\pi_\phi, \rho_\phi)|_{U' \cap X}$ is a submersion.*

Corollary. *Let X, Y be two submanifolds of M such that $Y \subset \overline{X}$ and the pair (X, Y) satisfies the conditions (a) and (δ). Then there exists a tubular neighbourhood T_Y of Y in M such that $(\pi_Y, \rho_Y)|_X : X \cap T_Y \longrightarrow Y \times \mathbb{R}$ is a submersion.*

Proposition 5.2. *Every weakly Whitney stratified space is (c)-regular.*

For the proofs see [6]. We note that, when weak Whitney regularity holds, the control function in the definition of (c)-regularity can be chosen to be a standard distance function arising from a tubular neighbourhood. This means that weak Whitney regularity is a much stronger condition than mere (c)-regularity, for which the control function may be weighted homogeneous or even infinitely flat along Y.

6. COMPLEX STRATIFICATIONS.

In Example I we saw an example of a weakly Whitney regular real algebraic stratification in $\mathbb{R}^3$ which is not Whitney (b)-regular. We are now interested in comparing weak Whitney regularity and Whitney regularity of *complex* analytic or *complex* algebraic stratifications, the main question being whether the extra 'rigidity' of complex analytic varieties prevents the existence of weakly Whitney complex analytic stratifications which are not Whitney regular.

Let F be an analytic function germ from $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbb{C}$, defined in a neighbourhood of 0,

$$\begin{array}{rccc} F: & \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}, 0 \\ & (x,t) & \longmapsto & F(x,t) \end{array}$$

where $F(0,t) = 0$. We denote by π the projection on the second factor, and let $V = F^{-1}(0)$, $Y = \{0\}^n \times \mathbb{C}$ and $V_t = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid F(x,t) = 0\}$. We assume that each V_t has an isolated singularity at $(0,t)$, the critical set of the restriction of π to V is Y, and $X = V \setminus Y$ is an analytic complex manifold of dimension n.

For each point $(x,t) \in X$ we have

$$T_{(x,t)}X = \left\{ (u,v) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} \;\middle|\; \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x,t) + v \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(x,t) = 0 \right\} = \left(\mathbb{C}\overline{grad}F\right)^{\perp}.$$

Let $gradF = (\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial t})$, $grad_x F = (\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n})$ and $\|grad_x F\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}\|^2$.

The following characterisations of conditions (a), (b^π) and (δ^π) are straightforward.

Whitney's condition (a)

The pair (X,Y) satisfies Whitney's condition (a) at 0 if and only if

$$\lim_{\substack{(x,t)\to 0 \\ (x,t)\in X}} \left(\frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(x,t)}{\|grad_x F(x,t)\|} \right) = 0.$$

Whitney's condition (b^π)

The couple (X,Y) satisfies Whitney's condition (b^π) at 0 if and only if

$$\lim_{\substack{(x,t)\to 0 \\ (x,t)\in X}} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x,t)}{\|x\| \|grad_x F(x,t)\|} \right) = 0.$$

Condition (δ^π)

The pair (X,Y) satisfies the (δ^π) condition at 0 if and only if there exists a real number $0 \leq \delta < 1$ such that

$$\lim_{\substack{(x,t)\to 0 \\ (x,t)\in X}} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x,t)}{\|x\| \|grad_x F(x,t)\|} \right) \leq \delta.$$

Recall that Whitney's condition (b) implies $(a) + (\delta^\pi)$.

Question. Is the converse true in the complex hypersurface case, i.e. does $(a) + (\delta^\pi)$ imply (b) or, equivalently, does $(a) + (\delta^\pi)$ imply (b^π) ?

Remark 6.1. We know by the fundamental result of Lê Dung Tràng and K. Saito [18] that a family of complex hypersurfaces with isolated singularities has constant Milnor number if and only if

$$\lim_{(x,t)\to 0}\left(\frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(x,t)}{\|grad_x F(x,t)\|}\right) = 0,$$

which implies condition (a).

The following lemma due to Briançon and Speder [11] gives an equivalent condition to (b^π) when (a) is satisfied.

Let $\gamma : ([0,1],0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0)$, be a germ of an analytic arc and ν the valuation along γ in the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{n+1,0}$.

Notation: $\nu(x) := \inf\{\nu(x_i) | 1 \le i \le n\}$ and $\nu(J_x(F)) := \inf\{\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}) | 1 \le i \le n\}$.

Lemma 2. *The following statements are equivalent:*

(1) the pair (X,Y) satisfies (b^π) at 0,

(2)

$$\lim_{\substack{(x,t)\to 0\\(x,t)\in X}}\left(\frac{t\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(x,t)}{\|x\|\|grad_x F(x,t)\|}\right) = 0.$$

In other words, the following statements are equivalent:

(1) $\nu(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}) > \nu(x) + \nu(J_x(F))$

(2) $\nu(t) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}) > \nu(x) + \nu(J_x(F))$

where ν is the valuation along germs of analytic arcs $\gamma : [0,1] \to X$.

Proof. For $s \in [0,1]$, $\gamma(s) = (x_1(s), \ldots, x_n(s), t(s))$.

Since $F \circ \gamma \equiv 0$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i'(s)\frac{\partial F\circ\gamma}{\partial x_i}(s) = -t'(s)\frac{\partial F\circ\gamma}{\partial t}(s). \qquad (3)$$

If $a = \nu(x)$ and $b = \nu(J_x(F))$, there exist two non zero vectors of $\mathbb{C}^n$, A and B, such that

$$\begin{cases}(x_1(s),\ldots,x_n(s)) = As^a + \ldots\\ (\frac{\partial F\circ\gamma}{\partial x_1}(s),\ldots,\frac{\partial F\circ\gamma}{\partial x_n}(s)) = Bs^b + \ldots.\end{cases}$$

We suppose (1) holds. Then since $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i(s)\frac{\partial F\circ\gamma}{\partial x_i}(s) = \langle A,B\rangle s^{a+b} + \ldots$, we must have $\langle A,B\rangle = 0$.

From (3) we have

$$t'(s)\frac{\partial F\circ\gamma}{\partial t}(s) = -\sum_{i=1}^n x_i'(s)\frac{\partial F\circ\gamma}{\partial x_i}(s) = -a\langle A,B\rangle s^{a+b-1} + \ldots.$$

Then $\nu(t) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}) = \nu(t'(s)\frac{\partial F\circ\gamma}{\partial t}) + 1 > (a+b-1)+1 = a+b$.

We suppose now (2) holds. Then since $t'(s)\frac{\partial F\circ\gamma}{\partial t} = -a\langle A,B\rangle s^{a+b-1} + \ldots$, we must have again $\langle A,B\rangle = 0$, which is exactly condition (1).

□

7. THE BRIANÇON AND SPEDER EXAMPLE WITH $\mu = 364$.

In this section we study the original example, due to Briançon and Speder [10], of a topologically trivial family of isolated complex hypersurface singularities which are not Whitney regular. The examples of Briançon and Speder given in [10] were the *only* such examples known, until very recently.

We shall carry out initially explicit calculations for the most well-known example of Briançon and Speder, analysed in their celebrated note of January 1975 : $F(x, y, z, t) = F_t(x, y, z) = x^5 + txy^6 + y^7z + z^{15}$ for which $\mu(F_t) = 364$ for all t near 0.

The Briançon and Speder example is not weakly Whitney regular.

Let $F(x, y, z, t) = x^5 + txy^6 + y^7z + z^{15}$. Then F is a quasihomogenous μ-constant family of type $(3, 2, 1; 15)$. Thus the stratification $(F^{-1}(0) \setminus (0t), (0t))$ is (a)-regular by Remark 6.1.

We shall construct an explicit analytic path $\gamma(s) = (x(s), y(s), z(s), t(s))$ contained in $F^{-1}(0)$ such that the module of $\Delta(x, y, z, t) = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x,y,z,t)}{\|x\| \|grad_x F(x,y,z,t)\|} \right)$ tends to 1 when (x, y, z, t) tends to 0 along $\gamma(s)$. This means that condition (δ^π) is not satisfied at 0, by the characterisation given in section 6. By Lemma 1 in section 2 it then follows using (a)-regularity that (δ) is not satisfied at 0, so that weak Whitney regularity fails.

Following [**?**] and [**?**] we take

$$\begin{cases} x(s) = s^8 \\ y(s) = as^5 \\ z(s) = \frac{4}{a^7}\lambda s^5 \\ t(s) = -\frac{5}{a^6}s^2 \end{cases}$$

with $a \neq 0$.

For $\gamma(s)$ to lie on $F^{-1}(0)$ we must have that

$$F(\gamma(s)) = (1 - \frac{5}{a^6}a^6 + 4\lambda + (\frac{4}{a^7})^{15}\lambda^{15}s^{35})s^{40} \equiv 0,$$

so that $G(\lambda, s) = -4 + 4\lambda + (\frac{4}{a^7})^{15}\lambda^{15}s^{35} \equiv 0$.

Since $\frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda, 0) = 4 \neq 0$, it follows by the implicit function theorem that λ is a function of s for s near 0.

Note that $\lambda(0) = 1$.

Then we have along $\gamma(s)$ near $s = 0$,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 5x^4 + ty^6 = 5s^{32} - \frac{5}{a^6}a^6s^{32} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = 6txy^5 + 7y^6z = \left(\frac{-30}{a} + \frac{28}{a}\lambda\right) s^{35} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} = y^7 + 15z^{14} = a^7s^{35} + 15(\frac{4}{a^7})^{14}\lambda^{14}s^{70} \sim a^7s^{35}. \end{cases}$$

Because $\lambda(0) = 1$, the limit of orthogonal secant vectors $\frac{(x,y,z)}{\|(x,y,z)\|}$ is $(0 : a : \frac{4}{a^7}) = (0 : a^8 : 4)$, and the limit of normal vectors $\frac{grad_x F(x,y,z,t)}{\|grad_x F(x,y,z,t)\|}$ is $(0 : \frac{-2}{a} : a^7) = (0 : -2 : a^8)$.

Then $\Delta(\gamma(s))$ tends to 1 if and only if $a^8 = (-2)\frac{4}{a^8}$. It follows that (δ^π) is not satisfied along γ if and only if $a^{16} = -8$. Choosing a to be one of these 16 complex numbers, we have the desired conclusion, i.e. that (δ^π) fails. Note however that we cannot exclude the possibility that there are other curves on which (δ^π) fails. For this purpose, we shall next make a systematic study of all curves $\gamma(s)$ on $F^{-1}(0)$ and passing through the origin.

A similar calculation for the simpler μ-constant family $F(x,y,z,t) = x^3 + txy^3 + y^4z + z^9$, for which $\mu = 56$, also due to Briançon and Speder (see [10]), shows that (δ^π) fails for this example too. Our systematic study to determine all curves on which (δ^π) fails for this example will be copied in a more general study given below of the infinite family of examples, of which $x^3 + txy^3 + y^4z + z^9$ is the first, defined by Briançon and Speder in their celebrated 1975 note [10].

8. FAILURE OF WEAK WHITNEY REGULARITY: A COMPLETE ANALYSIS.

Take again $F(x,y,z,t) = x^5 + txy^6 + y^7z + z^{15}$.

In what follows we determine the initial terms of *all* curves along which condition (δ) fails, or equivalently by Lemma 1, along which (δ^π) fails.

Let $\gamma : ([0,1],0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0)$, be a germ of an analytic arc and ν the valuation along γ.
Let $X = (x,y,z)$ and $J_XF = (\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial z})$,
We will use the notations $\nu(X) := \inf\{\nu(x), \nu(y), \nu(z)\}$ and $\nu(J_X(F)) := \inf\{\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}), \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}), \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z})\}$.
We begin by determining the curves along which condition (b^π) holds (using (a)-regularity we know that (b^π) is equivalent to (b) here).
Because of lemma 2 and the μ-constant condition, if $\nu(t) \geq \nu(X)$ then (b') holds
$(\nu(t) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}) = \nu(t) + \nu(x) + 6\nu(y) > \nu(x) + \nu(J_X(F)))$
which means that Whitney's condition (b) holds when $\nu(t) \geq \nu(X)$.✓
We suppose from now on that $\boxed{\nu(t) < \nu(X)}$.
If $\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}) = \inf\{4\nu(x), \nu(t) + 6\nu(y)\}$ we have:

1. if $4\nu(x) \geq \nu(t) + 6\nu(y)$, we must have $\nu(x) > \nu(y)$ and then $\nu(t) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}) = \nu(t) + \nu(x) + 6\nu(y) > \nu(y) + (\nu(t) + 6\nu(y)) \geq \nu(X) + \nu(J_X(F))$.✓
2. if $4\nu(x) < \nu(t) + 6\nu(y)$ then $\nu(t) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}) = \nu(t) + \nu(x) + 6\nu(y) > \nu(x) + 4\nu(x) \geq \nu(X) + \nu(J_X(F))$.✓

If $\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}) > \inf\{4\nu(x), \nu(t) + 6\nu(y)\}$
we must have $\boxed{4\nu(x) = \nu(t) + 6\nu(y)}$, and because $F \circ \gamma \equiv 0$, we have that $x^5 + txy^6 = -y^7z - z^{15}$.

On the other hand $x^5 + ty^6x = -4x^5 + x\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}$ and $\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}) > \inf\{4\nu(x), \nu(t) + 6\nu(y)\}$ implies that $\nu(x^5 + ty^6x) = 5\nu(x)$. Then $5\nu(x) \geq \inf\{7\nu(y) + \nu(z), 15\nu(z)\}$
and $5\nu(x) = \inf\{7\nu(y) + \nu(z), 15\nu(z)\}$ if $\nu(y) \neq 2\nu(z)$.

(i) If $\nu(y) > 2\nu(z)$ then $\nu(x) = 3\nu(z)$.
Then $\nu(t) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}) = \nu(t) + \nu(x) + 6\nu(y) > \nu(t) + 15\nu(z) > \nu(z) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}) \geq \nu(X) + \nu(J_X(F))$ ✓

(ii) If $\nu(y) = 2\nu(z)$
 (a) and $\nu(x) = 3\nu(z)$, then from $4\nu(x) = \nu(t) + 6\nu(y)$ we obtain $12\nu(z) = \nu(t) + 12\nu(z)$ i.e. $\nu(t) = 0$ absurd ✓

(b) and $\nu(x) > 3\nu(z)$, then $\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}) = 8\nu(z)$ and it follows that
$\nu(t) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}) = \nu(t) + \nu(x) + 6\nu(y) > \nu(t) + 15\nu(z) > \nu(z) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}) \geq \nu(X) + \nu(J_X(F))$ ✓

(iii) if $\nu(y) < 2\nu(z)$, we have $5\nu(x) = 7\nu(y) + \nu(z)$,
and the previous equation gives $\nu(x) + \nu(t) = \nu(y) + \nu(z)$.

We can suppose now $\boxed{\nu(x) + \nu(t) = \nu(y) + \nu(z)}$ and $\boxed{\nu(y) < 2\nu(z)}$.

We carry on with the last cases:

(I) If $\nu(z) > \nu(y)$ we have $\nu(z^{14}) > \nu(y^7)$ so $\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}) = 7\nu(y)$.
Then $\nu(t) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}) = \nu(t) + \nu(x) + 6\nu(y) = \nu(z) + 7\nu(y) > \nu(y) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}) \geq \nu(X) + \nu(J_X(F))$.✓

(II) If $2\nu(z) > \nu(y) > \nu(z)$ then $\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}) = 7\nu(y) > 6\nu(y) + \nu(z)$.
$y\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = 6txy^6 + 7y^7z = 6txy^6 - 7(x^5 + txy^6 + z^{15}) = -7x^5 - txy^6 - 7z^{15}$
since $15\nu(z) > \nu(z) + 7\nu(y)$ and $\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}) > 4\nu(x)$
so we must have $\nu(y\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}) = \nu(txy^6)$ i.e. $\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}) = \nu(txy^5)$.
Then $\nu(t) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}) = \nu(t) + \nu(x) + 6\nu(y) > \nu(txy^5) + \nu(z) \geq \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}) + \nu(z) \geq \nu(X) + \nu(J_X(F))$.✓

Résumé: a germ of arc along which Whitney condition (b) is not satisfied must fulfil the following conditions:

- $\nu(x) > \nu(y) = \nu(z) > \nu(t)$
- $\nu(x) + \nu(t) = \nu(z) + \nu(y)$
- $4\nu(x) = \nu(t) + 6\nu(y)$

Finally the set of germs of analytic arcs along which Whitney condition (b) is not satisfied is contained in the set

$$\mathcal{A} := \{\ \gamma(s) = (x(s), y(s), z(s), t(s)) : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} \mid$$

$$\begin{cases} x(s) = a_1 s^{\alpha_1} + \dots \\ y(s) = a_2 s^{\alpha_2} + \dots \\ z(s) = a_3 s^{\alpha_3} + \dots \\ t(s) = a_4 s^{\alpha_4} + \dots \end{cases}$$

$5\alpha_1 = 8\alpha, \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha, 5\alpha_4 = 2\alpha, \alpha \equiv 0[5], a_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$ satisfying some conditions $\}$.

It remains to characterize the subset of arcs along which the δ condition is not satisfied.
Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}$, we may suppose $a_1 = 1$, and write $a_2 = a, a_3 = b$ and $a_4 = c$.
$F \circ \gamma(s) = (s^{8\alpha} + ...) + (c.a^6 s^{8\alpha} + \dots) + (a^7 b s^{8\alpha} + \dots) + (b^{15} s^{15\alpha} + \dots) \equiv 0$
so then $s^{8\alpha}(1 + c.a^6 + a^7.b + s(\dots + b^{15}s^{7\alpha - 1} + \dots)) \equiv 0$,
and we must have
$\boxed{1 + c.a^6 + a^7.b = 0}$.
Then along $\gamma(s)$ near $s = 0$ we have,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 5x^4 + ty^6 = s^{\frac{32}{5}\alpha}(5 + ca^6) + \dots \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = 6txy^5 + 7y^6z = a^5(6c + 7ab)s^{7\alpha} + \dots \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} = y^7 + 15z^{14} = a^7s^{7\alpha} + \dots + 14b^{14}s^{14\alpha} + \dots . \end{cases}$$

But, $\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}) > 7\alpha$ imposes the condition $\boxed{5 + ca^6 = 0}$.

It follows that $c = -\frac{5}{a^6}$, $b = \frac{4}{a^7}$ and $a^5(6c + 7ab) = -\frac{2}{a}$.

The limit of orthogonal secant vectors $\frac{(x,y,z)}{\|(x,y,z)\|}$ is $(0 : a : b) = (0 : a^8 : 4)$, and the limit of normal vectors $\frac{grad_x F(x,y,z,t)}{\|grad_x F(x,y,z,t)\|}$ is $(0 : a^5(6c + 7ab) : a^7) = (0 : -\frac{2}{a} : a^7) = (0 : -2 : a^8)$. It follows that (δ^π) is not satisfied along γ if and only if $a^{16} = -8$. Choosing a to be one of these 16 complex numbers, we have the desired conclusion, namely that (δ^π) fails precisely on those curves $\gamma(s) = (x(s), y(s), z(s), t(s))$ whose initial terms are $(s^8, as^5, 4a^{-7}s^5, -5a^{-6}s^2)$.

By Lemma 1, and (a)-regularity, these are precisely the curves on which (δ) fails, that is to say we have identified all of the curves on which weak Whitney regularity fails to hold.

9. OTHER BRIANÇON AND SPEDER EXAMPLES

We perform similar calculations for the infinite family of examples, also due to Briançon and Sperder [10] : $F(x, y, z, t) = x^3 + txy^\alpha + y^\beta z + z^{3\alpha}$, where $\boxed{\alpha \geq 3}$ and $\boxed{3\alpha = 2\beta + 1}$. The functions $f_t(x, y, z) = F_t(x, y, z)$ are quasihomogenous of type $(\alpha, 2, 1; 3\alpha)$ with isolated singularity at the origin, for each t, and so each $\mu_t = (3\alpha - 1)(3\alpha - 2) = 2\beta(2\beta - 1)$. Thus f_t is a μ-constant family .

We are again hunting for analytic arc germs where condition (δ) fails.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 3x^2 + ty^\alpha \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = \alpha txy^{\alpha-1} + \beta y^{\beta-1}z \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} = y^\beta + 3\alpha z^{3\alpha-1} = y^\beta + 3\alpha z^{2\beta}. \end{cases}$$

Let $\gamma : ([0, 1], 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0)$, be a germ of an analytic arc and ν the valuation along γ.

Let $X = (x, y, z)$ and $J_X F = (\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial z})$, then write $\nu(X) := \inf\{\nu(x), \nu(y), \nu(z)\}$ and $\nu(J_X(F)) := \inf\{\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}), \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}), \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z})\}$.

We begin by determining along which curves condition (b^π) holds (in this case it is equivalent to (b), because (a) holds (this is a consequence of having constant Milnor number [18], and may also be checked by direct calculation).

Because of lemma 2 and the μ-constant condition, if $\nu(t) \geq \nu(X)$ then (b^π) holds, because $(\nu(t) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}) = \nu(t) + \nu(x) + \alpha\nu(y) > \nu(x) + \nu(J_x(F))$, which means that Whitney's condition (b) holds when $\nu(t) \geq \nu(X)$.✓

We suppose from now on that $\boxed{\nu(t) < \nu(X)}$.

If $\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}) = \inf\{2\nu(x), \nu(t) + \alpha\nu(y)\}$ we have:

(1) if $2\nu(x) \geq \nu(t) + \alpha\nu(y)$, we must have $\nu(x) > \nu(y)$ then $\nu(t) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}) = \nu(t) + \nu(x) + \alpha\nu(y) > \nu(y) + (\nu(t) + \alpha\nu(y)) \geq \nu(X) + \nu(J_x(F))$.✓

(2) if $2\nu(x) < \nu(t) + \alpha\nu(y)$ then $\nu(t) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}) = \nu(t) + \nu(x) + \alpha\nu(y) > \nu(x) + (3\nu(x)) \geq \nu(X) + \nu(J_x(F))$.✓

If $\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}) > \inf\{2\nu(x), \nu(t) + \alpha\nu(y)\}$
we must have $\boxed{2\nu(x) = \nu(t) + \alpha\nu(y)}$, and because $F \circ \gamma \equiv 0$, i.e. $x^3 + txy^\alpha = -y^\beta z - z^{3\alpha}$.

On the other hand $x^3 + txy^\alpha = -2x^3 + x\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}$ and $\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}) > \inf\{2\nu(x), \nu(t) + \alpha\nu(y)\}$ implies $\nu(x^3 + txy^\alpha) = 3\nu(x)$. Then $3\nu(x) \geq \inf\{\beta\nu(y) + \nu(z), 3\alpha\nu(z)\}$
and $3\nu(x) = \inf\{\beta\nu(y) + \nu(z), 3\alpha\nu(z)\}$ if $\nu(y) \neq 2\nu(z)$.

- (i) If $\nu(y) > 2\nu(z)$ then $\nu(x) = \alpha\nu(z)$.
  Then $\nu(t) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}) = \nu(t) + \nu(x) + \alpha\nu(y) > \nu(t) + 3\alpha\nu(z) > \nu(z) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}) \geq \nu(X) + \nu(J_X(F))$ ✓
- (ii) If $\nu(y) = 2\nu(z)$
  - (a) and $\nu(x) = \alpha\nu(z)$, then from $2\nu(x) = \nu(t) + \alpha\nu(y)$ we obtain $2\alpha\nu(z) = \nu(t) + 2\alpha\nu(z)$ i.e. $\nu(t) = 0$ absurd ✓
  - (b) and $\nu(x) > \alpha\nu(z)$ then $\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}) = 2\beta\nu(z)$ it follows that
    $\nu(t) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}) = \nu(t) + \nu(x) + \alpha\nu(y) > \nu(t) + 3\alpha\nu(z) > \nu(z) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}) \geq \nu(X) + \nu(J_X(F))$ ✓
- (iii) If $\nu(y) < 2\nu(z)$, we have $3\nu(x) = \beta\nu(y) + \nu(z)$.
  and the previous equation gives $\nu(x) + \nu(t) = (\beta - \alpha)\nu(y) + \nu(z)$

We can suppose now $\boxed{\nu(x) + \nu(t) = (\beta - \alpha)\nu(y) + \nu(z)}$ and $\boxed{\nu(y) < 2\nu(z)}$

We carry on with the last cases:

- (I) If $\nu(z) > \nu(y)$ we have $\nu(z^{3\alpha-1}) = \nu(z^{2\beta}) > \nu(y^\beta)$ so $\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}) = \beta\nu(y)$.
  Then $\nu(t) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}) = \nu(t) + \nu(x) + \alpha\nu(y) = \nu(z) + \beta\nu(y) > \nu(y) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}) \geq \nu(X) + \nu(J_X(F))$.✓
- (II) If $2\nu(z) > \nu(y) > \nu(z)$ then $\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}) = \beta\nu(y) > (\beta - 1)\nu(y) + \nu(z)$.
  $y\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = \alpha txy^\alpha + \beta y^\beta z = \alpha txy^\alpha - \beta(x^3 + txy^\alpha + z^{3\alpha}) = -\beta x^3 - (\beta - \alpha)txy^\alpha - \beta z^{3\alpha}$
  since $3\alpha = 2\beta + 1$, we get $3\alpha\nu(z) > \nu(z) + \beta\nu(y)$ and from $\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}) > 2\nu(x)$
  we must have $\nu(y\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}) = \nu(txy^\alpha)$ i.e. $\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}) = \nu(txy^{\alpha-1})$.
  Then $\nu(t) + \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}) = \nu(t) + \nu(x) + \alpha\nu(y) = \nu(txy^{\alpha-1}) + \nu(y) > \nu(txy^{\alpha-1}) + \nu(z) \geq \nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}) + \nu(z) \geq \nu(X) + \nu(J_X(F))$.✓

Résumé: a germ of arc along which Whitney condition (b) is not satisfied must fulfil the following conditions:

- $\nu(x) > \nu(y) = \nu(z) > \nu(t)$
- $\nu(x) + \nu(t) = \nu(z) + (\beta - \alpha)\nu(y)$
- $2\nu(x) = \nu(t) + \alpha\nu(y)$

Finally the set of germs of analytic arcs along which Whitney condition (b) is not satisfied is contained in the set

$$\mathcal{A} := \{\ \gamma(s) = (x(s), y(s), z(s), t(s)) : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} \mid$$

$$\begin{cases} x(s) = a_1 s^{\alpha_1} + \dots \\ y(s) = a_2 s^{\alpha_2} + \dots \\ z(s) = a_3 s^{\alpha_3} + \dots \\ t(s) = a_4 s^{\alpha_4} + \dots \end{cases}$$

$3\alpha_1 = (\beta+1)m, \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = m, 3\alpha_4 = m, \alpha \equiv 0[3], a_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$ satisfying some conditions } .

It remains to characterize the subset of arcs along which the (δ) condition is not satisfied.
Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}$, we may suppose $a_1 = 1$, and write $a_2 = a, a_3 = b$ and $a_4 = c$
$F \circ \gamma(s) = (s^{(\beta+1)m} + ...) + (c.a^\alpha s^{(\beta+1)m} + \dots) + (a^\beta b s^{(\beta+1)m} + \dots) + (b^{3\alpha} s^{3\alpha m} + \dots) \equiv 0$
then $s^{(\beta+1)m}(1 + a^\alpha.c + a^\beta.b + s(\dots + b^{3\alpha} s^{\beta m} + \dots)) \equiv 0$
and we must have
$\boxed{1 + c.a^\alpha + b.a^\beta = 0}$.
Then along $\gamma(s)$ near $s = 0$ we have,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 3x^2 + ty^\alpha = s^{\frac{2(\beta+1)m}{3}\alpha}(3 + ca^\alpha) + \dots \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = \alpha t x y^{\alpha-1} + \beta y^{\beta-1} z = (\alpha c a^{\alpha-1} + \beta b.a^{\beta-1}) s^{\beta m} + \dots \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} = y^\beta + 3\alpha z^{3\alpha-1} = y^\beta + 3\alpha z^{2\beta} = a^\beta s^{\beta m} + \dots + (2\beta) b^{2\beta)} s^{(2\beta)m} + \dots . \end{cases}$$

But, the condition $\nu(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}) > \beta m$ implies $\boxed{3 + ca^\alpha = 0}$
and it follows that $c = -\frac{3}{a^\alpha}$, $b = \frac{2}{a^\beta}$ and $\alpha c.a^{\alpha-1} + \beta b.a^{\beta-1} = -\frac{1}{a}$.

The limit of orthogonal secant vectors $\frac{(x,y,z)}{\|(x,y,z)\|}$ is $(0 : a : b) = (0 : a : \frac{2}{a^\beta})$, and the limit of normal vectors $\frac{grad_x F(x,y,z,t)}{\|grad_x F(x,y,z,t)\|}$ is $(0 : \alpha c.a^{\alpha-1} + \beta b.a^{\beta-1} : a^\beta) = (0 : -\frac{1}{a} : a^\beta)$. It follows that (δ) is not satisfied along γ if and only if $a^{2\beta+2} = -2$. Choosing α to be one of these $2\beta + 2 = 3\alpha + 1$ complex numbers, we have the desired conclusion, i.e. that (δ) fails.

10. OTHER EXAMPLES.

A Milnor number constant family, $F_t(x,y,z) = z^{12} + zy^3x + ty^2x^3 + x^6 + y^5$, with $\mu = 166$, which is also not Whitney regular over the t-axis, was studied by E. Artal Bartolo, J. Fernandez de Bobadilla, I. Luengo and A. Melle-Hernandez in a recent paper [2]. A series of Milnor number constant but non Whitney regular families, depending on a parameter ℓ, was given by Abderrahmane [1] as follows: $F_t^\ell(x,y,z) = x^{13} + y^{20} + zx^6y^5 + tx^6y^8 + t^2x^{10}y^3 + z^\ell$, for integers $\ell \geq 7$. Here $\mu = 153\ell + 32$, while $\mu^2(F_0) = 260$ and $\mu^2(F_t) = 189$, according to Abderrahmane. We do not yet know whether weak Whitney regularity holds or fails for these examples.

REFERENCES

[1] Abderrahmane, O. M., *On the deformation with constant Milnor number and Newton polyhedron*, Saitama University preprint, 2003.

[2] Artal Bartolo, E., Fernandez de Bobadilla, J., Luengo, I. and Melle-Hernandez, A., Milnor number of weighted-Lê-Yomdin singularities, *International Mathematical Research Notices* **22** (2010), 4301-4318.

[3] Bekka, K., *Sur les propriétés topologiques et métriques des espaces stratifiés*, Doctoral thesis, Université de Paris-Sud (1988).

[4] Bekka, K., C-régularité et trivialité topologique, *Singularity theory and applications, Warwick 1989 (eds. D. M. Q. Mond and J. Montaldi)*, Springer Lecture Notes **1462** (1991), 42-62.

[5] Bekka, K. and Trotman, D., Propriétés métriques de familles Φ-radiales de sous-variétés différentiables, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B*, **305** (1987), 389-392.

[6] Bekka, K. and Trotman, D., Weakly Whitney stratified sets, *Real and complex singularities (Proceedings, Sao Carlos 1998, edited by J. W. Bruce and F. Tari)*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, (2000), 1-15.

[7] Bekka, K. and Trotman, D., On metric properties of stratified sets, *Manuscripta Math.*, **111** (2003), 71-95.

[8] Birbrair, L., Neumann, W. D. and Pichon, A., *The thick-thin decomposition and the bilipschitz classification of normal surface singularities*, arXiv.1105.3327v3 [math.AG].

[9] Birbrair, L., Neumann, W. D. and Pichon, A., *On Zariski equisingularity and bilipschitz triviality of families of complex surface singularities*, 2012.

[10] Briançon, J. and Speder, J.-P., La trivialité topologique n'implique pas les conditions de Whitney, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B*, **280** (1975), 365-367.

[11] Briançon, J. and Speder, J.-P., Les conditions de Whitney impliquent μ^* constant, *Annales de L'Institut Fourier*, **26(2)** (1976), 153-163.

[12] Ferrarotti, M., Volume on stratified sets, *Annali di Matematica Pura e applicata*, serie 4,**144** (1986),183–201.

[13] Ferrarotti, M., Some results about integration on regular stratified sets, *Annali di Matematica Pura e applicata*, serie 4, **150** (1988), 263–279.

[14] Ferrarotti, M., Trivializations of stratified spaces with bounded differential, *Singularities (Lille, 1991), edited by J.-P. Brasselet, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.,* **201**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1994), 101–117.

[15] Ferrarotti, M., Some results about volume of stratified sets, *Stratifications, singularities and differential equations, II (Marseille, 1990; Honolulu, HI, 1990), edited by D. Trotman and L. Wilson, Travaux en Cours*, **55**, Hermann, Paris (1997), 39–43.

[16] Garcia Barroso, E. and Teissier, B., Concentration multi-échelles de courbure dans des fibres de Milnor, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **74** (1999), no. 3, 398–418.

[17] Goresky, R. M., Triangulation of stratified objects, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1978), no. 1, 193–200.

[18] Lê, D. T. and Saito,K., La constance du nombre de Milnor donne des bonnes stratifications, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **277** (1973), 793-795.

[19] Mather, J., *Notes on topological stability*, Harvard University, 1970.

[20] Navarro Aznar, V. and Trotman, D. J. A., Whitney regularity and generic wings, *Annales de l' Institut Fourier, Grenoble* **31(2)** (1981), 87-111.

[21] Orro, P. and Trotman, D., Cône normal à une stratification régulière, *Seminari Geometria 1998-99, Università degli Studi Bologna* **12** (2000), 169-175.

[22] Orro, P. and Trotman, D., Cône normal et régularités de Kuo-Verdier, *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France* **130** (2002), 71–85.

[23] Orro, P. and Trotman, D., Transverse regular stratifications, *Real and Complex Singularities (edited by M. Manoel, M. C. Romero Fuster and C. T. C. Wall, 10th international workshop, Sao Carlos, Brazil 2008)*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **380**, Cambridge University Press (2010), 298-304.

[24] Parusinski, A., Bi-Lipschitz trivialization of the distance function to a stratum of a stratification, *Ann. Pol. Math.*, **87** (2005), 213-218.

[25] Pflaum, M., *Analytic and Geometric study of Stratified Spaces*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **1768** (2001).

[26] Schürmann, J., *Topology of singular spaces and constructible sheaves*, Mathematics Institute of the Polish Academy of Sciences. Mathematical Monographs (New Series) **63**, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 2003.

[27] Thom, R., Ensembles et morphismes stratifiés, *Bull. A. M. S.* **75** (1969), 240-284.

[28] Trotman, D., *Whitney stratifications : faults and detectors*, Doctoral thesis, Warwick University, 1977.

[29] Trotman, D., Geometric versions of Whitney regularity for smooth stratifications, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **(4) 12** (1979), no. 4, 453–463.

[30] Whitney, H., Local properties of analytic varieties, *Differential and Combinatorial Topology (ed. S. Cairns)*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1965, pp. 205-244.

[31] Whitney, H., Tangents to an analytic variety, *Ann. of Math.* **81** (1965), 496-549.

E-mail address: `karim.bekka@univ-rennes1.fr, David.Trotman@cmi.univ-mrs.fr`